

# Delayed Production of Arachidonic Acid Contributes to the Delay of Proteinase-Activated Receptor-1 (PAR1)-Triggered Prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> Release in Rat Gastric Epithelial RGM1 Cells

Fumiko Sekiguchi, Ai Ohi, Yuma Maeda, Kaori Takaoka, Teruki Sekimoto, Hiroyuki Nishikawa, and Atsufumi Kawabata<sup>\*</sup>

Division of Pharmacology and Pathophysiology, Kinki University School of Pharmacy, 3-4-1 Kowakae, Higashi-Osaka 577-8502, Japan

# ABSTRACT

Proteinase-activated receptor-1 (PAR1), upon activation, exerts prostanoid-dependent gastroprotection, and increases prostaglandin  $E_2$  (PGE<sub>2</sub>) release through cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) upregulation in rat gastric mucosal epithelial RGM1 cells. However, there is a big time lag between the PAR1-triggered PGE<sub>2</sub> release and COX-2 upregulation in RGM1 cells; that is, the former event takes 18 h to occur, while the latter rapidly develops and reaches a plateau in 6 h. The present study thus aimed at clarifying mechanisms for the delay of PGE<sub>2</sub> release after PAR1 activation in RGM1 cells. Although a PAR1-activating peptide, TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>, alone caused PGE<sub>2</sub> release at 18 h, but not 6 h, TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> in combination with arachidonic acid dramatically enhanced PGE<sub>2</sub> release even for 1–6 h. TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> plus linoleic acid, but not by TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> alone. The TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>-induced PGE<sub>2</sub> release was not affected by inhibitors of cytosolic phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> (cPLA<sub>2</sub>), Ca<sup>2+</sup>-independent PLA<sub>2</sub> (cPLA<sub>2</sub>) or secretory PLA<sub>2</sub> (sPLA<sub>2</sub>), but was abolished by their mixture or a pan-PLA<sub>2</sub> inhibitor. Among PLA<sub>2</sub> isozymes, mRNA of group IIA sPLA<sub>2</sub> (sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA) was upregulated following PAR1 stimulation for 6–18 h, whereas protein levels of PGE synthases were unchanged. These data suggest that the delay of PGE<sub>2</sub> release after COX-2 upregulation triggered by PAR1 is due to the poor supply of free arachidonic acid at the early stage in RGM1 cells, and that plural isozymes of PLA<sub>2</sub> including sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA may complementarily contribute to the liberation of free arachidonic acid. J. Cell. Biochem. 112: 909–915, 2011. © 2010 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

**KEY WORDS:** PROTEINASE-ACTIVATED RECEPTOR-1 (PAR1); PGE<sub>2</sub>; COX-2; RAT GASTRIC EPITHELIAL MUCOSAL RGM1 CELLS; PHOSPHOLIPASE A<sub>2</sub> (PLA<sub>2</sub>)

**P** rostaglandins (PGs), especially PGE<sub>2</sub> and PGI<sub>2</sub>, are released continuously from the gastric mucosa, and crucially contribute to maintenance of gastric integrity [Brzozowski et al., 2005; Laine et al., 2008]. Release of PGs has been shown to be enhanced by oral administration of mild irritants [Robert et al., 1983] and short episodes of gastric ischemia [Pajdo et al., 2001]. In the stomach with mucosal injury caused by the irritants, ischemia, stress, etc., the increased release of PGE<sub>2</sub> by inducible cycloox-ygenase-2 (COX-2), in addition to constitutive COX-1, is important for protection and healing of gastric mucosa [Mizuno et al., 1997; Brzozowski et al., 2005; Laine et al., 2008]. The increase in PGE<sub>2</sub> release has been reported to involve upregulation of not only COX-2, but also microsomal PGE synthase-1 (mPGES-1) [Gudis and Sakamoto, 2005; Kawao et al., 2005; Nagataki et al., 2008] and

cytosolic or secretory phospholipase  $A_2$  (cPLA<sub>2</sub> and sPLA<sub>2</sub>) [Akiba et al., 2000; Murakami et al., 2000; Balsinde et al., 2002]. In addition, changes in expression and activity of  $\Delta^6$ - and  $\Delta^5$ -desaturase that contributes to formation of arachidonic acid from linoleic acid, are also considered to affect the release of PGs [Ramanadham et al., 2002; Nakamura and Nara, 2003; Das, 2007].

Proteinase-activated receptors (PARs), a family of G-proteincoupled receptors, are activated by proteolytic unmasking of the cryptic tethered ligand present in the extracellular N-terminal domain by various proteinases [Kawabata, 2002; Sekiguchi and Kawabata, 2004; Steinhoff et al., 2005]. Among the four members of PARs, PAR1, PAR2, and PAR4, but not PAR3, are nonenzymatically activated by the synthetic PAR-activating peptides (PAR-APs), for example, TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> for PAR1, SLIGRL-NH<sub>2</sub> for

Grant sponsor: Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan, 2008–2012; Grant number: S0801975.

\*Correspondence to: Prof. Atsufumi Kawabata, PhD, Division of Pharmacology and Pathophysiology, Kinki University School of Pharmacy, 3-4-1 Kowakae, Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan. E-mail: kawabata@phar.kindai.ac.jp Received 26 May 2010; Accepted 14 December 2010 • DOI 10.1002/jcb.23005 • © 2010 Wiley-Liss, Inc. Published online 29 December 2010 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).



PAR2, and AYPGKF-NH<sub>2</sub> for PAR4 [Kawabata, 2002; Sekiguchi and Kawabata, 2004; Steinhoff et al., 2005]. We have previously reported that PAR1 and PAR2 play a protective role in the gastric mucosa, and that the protective effect of PAR1, but not PAR2, is dependent on endogenous PGs [Kawabata et al., 2001; Kawabata et al., 2004]. Because PAR1 is enzymatically activated by thrombin and various proteinases released under inflammatory conditions [Kawabata, 2002; Sekiguchi and Kawabata, 2004; Steinhoff et al., 2005; Sekiguchi et al., 2007b; Kawabata et al., 2008], the PG-dependent gastroprotection by PAR1 activation is considered critically important in the diseased stomach with bleeding and inflammation.

We have reported that stimulation of PAR1 with thrombin or the PAR1-AP actually increases production of  $PGE_2$  in the normal rat gastric mucosal epithelial cell line, RGM1, and that the underlying mechanisms involve upregulation of COX-2 mediated by transactivation of EGF receptors and subsequent activation of the MEK/ERK pathways [Sekiguchi et al., 2007a; Takaoka et al., 2010]. A problem to be solved is the considerable time lag between the PAR1-triggered release of PGE<sub>2</sub> and up-regulation of COX-2; that is, the former event takes 18 h to occur, while the latter develops and reaches a plateau within 1–6 h [Sekiguchi et al., 2007a]. In the present study, we thus attempted to clarify the mechanisms for the delay of PGE<sub>2</sub> release induced by activation of PAR1 in RGM1 cells.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### CHEMICALS

A PAR1-activating peptide (PAR1-AP), TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>, was synthesized and purified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and the concentration and purity were determined by HPLC or mass spectrometry. Arachidonic acid, linoleic acid, bromoenol lactone (BEL), CP-24879, quinacrine, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Thioetheramide-PC (Thio-PC) was obtained from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI), and arachidonyl trifluoromethyl ketone (AACOCF<sub>3</sub>) was from Calbiochem (Darmstadt, Germany). TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> was dissolved in saline, and arachidonic acid and Thio-PC were in ethanol. Linoleic acid and quinacrine were dissolved in distilled water, and CP-24879, AACOCF<sub>3</sub>, and BEL were in DMSO.

### CELL CULTURE

RGM1, the normal rat gastric mucosal epithelial cell line [Kobayashi et al., 1996], was provided by Riken Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan). The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium nutrient mixture F-12 Ham (Sigma–Aldrich) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo, Melbourne, Australia) and 50 mg/L kanamycin (Meiji Seika Kaisha, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

### DETERMINATION OF PGE<sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION

RGM1 cells  $(1.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well of 6-well plate})$  were grown in the 20% FBS-containing medium for 24 h, and then cultured in the 1% FBS-containing medium overnight. Small volume (6 µl) of the culture medium was repeatedly collected before and after stimulation with TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>. The amount of released PGE<sub>2</sub> was determined using an EIA kit (Cayman Chemical), and calculated by subtracting the basal value at time 0 (before stimulation) from that at each time point. Arachidonic acid or linoleic acid was added simultaneously with the PAR1-AP, TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>. Inhibitors were applied 30 min before the stimulation with TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>.

#### LACTATE DEHYDROGENASE (LDH) RELEASE ASSAY

RGM1 cells were cultured in the 1% FBS-containing medium overnight and then stimulated with TFLLR- $NH_2$  alone or in combination with arachidonic acid or linoleic acid for 18 h. After the stimulation, activity of LDH released into the medium (released LDH) and collected from the cells lysed with 1% Triton X-100 (total LDH) was measured with an LDH cytotoxicity detection kit (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan). The percentage of the released LDH to the total LDH was calculated.

## REVERSE-TRANSCRIBED-POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION (RT-PCR)

RGM1 cells, after pre-cultured overnight in the 1% FBS-containing medium, were stimulated with TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> at 100  $\mu$ M for 1, 3, 6, 12, and 18 h, and then lysed in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Total RNA extracted from the cell lysate was reversetranscribed and then amplified by PCR using the RNA LA PCR kit (AMV) version 1.1 (Takara, Otsu, Japan). The PCR primers employed were: 5'-ACC ACA GTC CAT GCC ATC AC-3' and 5'-TCC ACC ACC CTG TTG CTG TA-3' for rat glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH); 5'-AAG GCC AAG TGA CAC CAG CC-3' and 5'-GAA ACA GAG CAA CGA GAT GGG-3' for rat cPLA2; 5'-AAG TGA ACG TGT TCG AGA GG-3' and 5'-GAT GAT GCG GCT GTG ATG G-3' for Ca<sup>2+</sup>-independent PLA<sub>2</sub> (iPLA<sub>2</sub>); 5'-TTG CCA TTG TGG TGT GGG TGG-3' and 5'-CAA CTG GGC GTC TTC CCT TTG C-3' for group IIA secretary PLA<sub>2</sub> (sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA); 5'-CCC TAA GGA TGG CAC TGA TTG G-3' and 5'-CCG GTC ACA AGC ACA AAG CC-3' for group V sPLA<sub>2</sub> (sPLA<sub>2</sub>-V). PCR cycles were 25 for GAPDH, or 35 for cPLA<sub>2</sub>, iPLA<sub>2</sub>, sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA, and sPLA<sub>2</sub>-V. The PCR products (452 bp for GAPDH, 453 bp for cPLA<sub>2</sub>, 322 bp for iPLA<sub>2</sub>, 300 bp for sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA, and 172 bp for sPLA<sub>2</sub>-V) were visualized by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis followed by the ethidium bromide staining.

#### **REAL-TIME PCR**

Real-time PCR was performed using Light Cycler 480 (Roche Applied Sci., Basel, Switzerland). The volume of each reaction solution was 20  $\mu$ l, containing 10  $\mu$ l of 2× Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems Japan Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), 50 ng cDNA and 0.1  $\mu$ M each of forward and reverse primers. The cycling conditions of PCR were: preincubation at 50°C for 2 min followed by 95°C for 10 min; then 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 60 s. The PCR primers employed for real-time PCR were: 5'-ACA GCA TGA AGG TCC TCC TGT T-3' and 5'-GGC TCC CCT GGA CCT GAA-3' (sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA), 5'-CTG GGA AGA ATG CCG TAA AGA ACT-3' and 5'-ATC AGT GCC ATC CTT AGG GGT C-3' (sPLA<sub>2</sub>-V); and 5'-GAT GGT GAA GGT CGG TGT GAA C-3' and 5'-TGA CTG TGC CGT TGA ACT TGC-3' (GAPDH). Product size was 72, 86, and 176 bp for sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA, sPLA<sub>2</sub>-V, and GAPDH, respectively.

# DETECTION OF PROTEIN EXPRESSION OF COX-2 AND PGE SYNTHASES BY WESTERN BLOTTING

RGM1 cells were stimulated with TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> at 100  $\mu$ M for 1, 3, 6, 12, or 18 h in the 1% FBS-containing medium, and then lysed in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) buffer (2% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl, and 10% glycerol, pH 6.8). Protein samples (10 µg for GAPDH, 30 µg for other proteins) were separated by electrophoresis on 5-20% gradient SDS-polyacrylamide gel (Wako Pure Chemicals, Osaka, Japan), and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA). The membrane was blocked with a blocking buffer (5% skim milk, 137 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20 and 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6) for 1 h at room temperature, and then incubated with each primary antibody overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies used in the present study were: the anti-COX-2 (1:200) and anti-GAPDH (FL-335) (1:5,000) antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); the anti-mPGES-1 (1:100), antimPGES-2 (1:200), and anti-cytosolic PGES (cPGES) (1:200) antibodies (Cayman Chemical). Anti-rabbit and anti-goat horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-linked IgG antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA) were used as secondary antibodies. Positive bands were developed by enhanced chemiluminescence detection (ECL, Western blotting detection reagent; Amersham Biosciences, Little Chalfont, UK). The resulting films were scanned and quantified using densitometric software (Scion Image downloaded from www.microsoft.com/DirectX/).

## STATISTICS

Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by Student's *t*-test for two-group data and Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Significance was set at a P < 0.05 level.

# RESULTS

# DISTINCT TIME-COURSES OF COX-2 UPREGULATION AND $\rm PGE_2$ Release caused by PAR1 activation in RGM1 cells

In RGM1 cells, stimulation with the PAR1-AP, TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>, at 100  $\mu$ M induced upregulation of COX-2 protein in 1 h, an effect increasing thereafter and reaching a plateau at 6 h (Fig. 1A). Regardless of the rapid expression of COX-2 protein, PGE<sub>2</sub> release hardly increased even after 6 h stimulation with TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>, and its significant increase was detected at 18 h (Fig. 1B). Thus, there was a considerable time lag between PAR1-triggered COX-2 upregulation and PGE<sub>2</sub> release, in agreement with our previous paper [Sekiguchi et al., 2007a].

## ACCELERATION OF THE PAR1-TRIGGERED PGE<sub>2</sub> RELEASE BY ARACHIDONIC ACID OR LINOLEIC ACID IN RGM1 CELLS

Although arachidonic acid at 1  $\mu$ M itself constantly and slowly increased PGE<sub>2</sub> release, arachidonic acid at the same concentration in combination with TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> at 100  $\mu$ M caused rapid and dramatic increase in PGE<sub>2</sub> release even at 1 h, an effect further developing thereafter (Fig. 2A). This time course of PGE<sub>2</sub> release resembles that of COX-2 upregulation following PAR1 stimulation (see Fig. 1A). Similarly, linoleic acid, a source for production of arachidonic acid by  $\Delta^5/\Delta^6$ -desaturase, at 30  $\mu$ M in combination with TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> at 100  $\mu$ M dramatically accelerated



Fig. 1. Time course of COX-2 upregulation (A) and PGE<sub>2</sub> release (B) caused by stimulation with the PAR1-activating peptide, TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>, in RGM1 cells. Note the lack of PGE<sub>2</sub> release at 6 h, regardless of the rapid induction of COX-2 protein in 1–6 h. \*\*P<0.01 versus vehicle, n = 4.

the PAR1-triggered increase in PGE<sub>2</sub> release (Fig. 2B), mimicking the time course of PGE<sub>2</sub> release caused by arachidonic acid plus TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> (see Fig. 2A). It is to be noted that arachidonic acid and linoleic acid in concentration ranges up to 10 and 30  $\mu$ M, respectively, in the presence and absence of TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> at 100  $\mu$ M, did not increase LDH release at 18 h in RGM1 cells (data not shown), indicating that the PGE<sub>2</sub> release shown in Figure 2 was not due to the cytotoxicity of those compounds.

## EVALUATION OF INVOLVEMENT OF ARACHIDONIC ACID PRODUCTION BY $\Delta^5/\Delta^6$ -desaturase in the par1-triggered PGE<sub>2</sub> Release in RGM1 Cells

As expected, an inhibitor of  $\Delta^5/\Delta^6$ -desaturase, CP-14879, blocked the accelerating effect of linoleic acid on the TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>-induced PGE<sub>2</sub> release (the left panel of Fig. 3). On the other hand, the PGE<sub>2</sub> release caused by TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> alone was not significantly reduced by CP-14879 (the right panel of Fig. 3), suggesting that the PAR1-triggered PGE<sub>2</sub> release is independent of the supply of arachidonic acid via the  $\Delta^5/\Delta^6$ -desaturase pathway.

# EFFECTS OF INHIBITORS OF $\mathsf{PLA}_2$ on the $\mathsf{PAR1}\text{-}\mathsf{TRIGGERED}\ \mathsf{PGE}_2$ release in RGM1 cells

The PAR1-triggered  $PGE_2$  release in RGM1 cells was resistant to inhibitors of  $cPLA_2$  (AACOCF<sub>3</sub>) (Fig. 4A) and  $iPLA_2$  (BEL) (Fig. 4B), in agreement with our previous paper [Sekiguchi et al., 2007a]. An inhibitor of sPLA<sub>2</sub>, thioetheramide-PC (Thio-PC), also failed to affect



PGE<sub>2</sub> release caused by stimulation with the PAR1-activating peptide, TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> in RGM1 cells. Arachidonic acid or linoleic acid was applied simultaneously with TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 versus vehicle; <sup>††</sup>P<0.01 versus TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>, n = 4 (A) or n = 4–12 (B).

the PGE<sub>2</sub> release caused by TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 4C). However, a mixture of inhibitors of cPLA<sub>2</sub>, iPLA<sub>2</sub>, and sPLA<sub>2</sub> (AACOCF<sub>3</sub>, BEL, and Thio-PC) or a pan-PLA<sub>2</sub> inhibitor, quinacrine, completely suppressed the PGE<sub>2</sub> release caused by TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 4D,E), suggesting that multiple isozymes of PLA<sub>2</sub> may contribute to the PAR1-triggered delayed PGE<sub>2</sub> release in a complementary manner.

# EFFECT OF PAR1 ACTIVATION ON EXPRESSION OF mRNA FOR ISOZYMES OF $PLA_2$ IN RGM1 CELLS

In the RT-PCR analysis, the levels of mRNA for sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA and sPLA<sub>2</sub>-V tended to elevate after PAR1 stimulation for 1–3 and 6–18 h, respectively, whereas mRNA of cPLA<sub>2</sub> and iPLA<sub>2</sub> did not change after the stimulation (Fig. 5A). The quantitative analysis of mRNA levels by the real-time PCR method showed that mRNA levels of sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA, but not sPLA<sub>2</sub>-V, significantly elevated after PAR1 stimulation for 6–18 h (Fig. 5B).



Fig. 3. Effect of CP-24879, an inhibitor of  $\Delta^5/\Delta^6$ -desaturase, on the PGE<sub>2</sub> release caused by stimulation with the PAR1-activating peptide, TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>, in combination with linoleic acid (left panel), or alone (right panel) in RGM1 cells. Note that CP-24879 blocked the increase in PGE<sub>2</sub> release caused by stimulation with a combination of TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> and linoleic acid (A), but not by TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> alone (B). \*\*P<0.01 versus TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> (left panel) or versus vehicle (right panel); <sup>††</sup>P<0.01 versus TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub> + linoleic acid, n = 4.

# LACK OF EFFECT OF PAR1 ACTIVATION ON EXPRESSION OF PGE SYNTHASES IN RGM1 CELLS

Finally, we determined protein levels of PGE synthases following PAR1 stimulation by Western blotting. Expression levels of mPGES-



Fig. 4. Effect of inhibition of three isozymes of PLA<sub>2</sub> on the PAR1-triggered PGE<sub>2</sub> release in RGM1 cells. Inhibitors of cPLA<sub>2</sub> (AACOCF<sub>3</sub>) (A), iPLA<sub>2</sub> (BEL) (B) and sPLA<sub>2</sub> (Thio-PC) (C), their mixture (Thio-PC plus AACOCF<sub>3</sub> plus BEL) (D), or a pan-PLA<sub>2</sub> inhibitor (quinacrine) (E) were applied 30 min before stimulation with TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>. \*\*P<0.01 versus vehicle; <sup>††</sup>P<0.01 versus TFLLR-NH<sub>2</sub>, n = 4.



Fig. 5. Effect of PAR1 activation on expression of mRNA of PLA<sub>2</sub> in RGM1 cells. A: Detection of mRNA by gel electrophoresis. Parentheses show the size of PCR products. PCR cycles were 25 for GAPDH and 35 for others. cPLA<sub>2</sub>, cytosolic PLA<sub>2</sub>; iPLA<sub>2</sub>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>-independent PLA<sub>2</sub>; sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA, group IIA secretory PLA<sub>2</sub>; sPLA<sub>2</sub>-V, group V sPLA<sub>2</sub>; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase. B: Real-time PCR analysis of sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA and sPLA<sub>2</sub>-V. <sup>\*\*</sup>P < 0.01 versus vehicle, n = 5–6.

1, mPGES-2, and cPGES proteins did not change after stimulation with TFLLR-NH $_2$  for 1–18 h (Fig. 6A,B).

## DISCUSSION

From the present study, it appears that the delayed onset of  $PGE_2$  release after the upregulation of COX-2 following PAR1 stimulation is due to slowly developing liberation of free arachidonic acid from the nuclear or plasma membrane in normal rat gastric mucosal epithelial RGM1 cells. The results from the standard RT-PCR and quantitative real-time PCR analyses suggest that the delayed upregulation of sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA might be responsible for the delayed liberation of free arachidonic acid, although the data from inhibition experiments imply that multiple PLA<sub>2</sub> isozymes should complementarily contribute to the arachidonic acid release. Further, our data show that neither  $\Delta^6/\Delta^5$ -desaturase activity nor expression levels of mPGES-1, mPGES-2, and cPGES are associated with the delay of the PAR1-triggered PGE<sub>2</sub> release in RGM1 cells.

In the previous paper, we have shown that the MEK/ERK pathway and transactivation of EGF receptors are essential for the PAR1triggered COX-2 upregulation and PGE<sub>2</sub> formation in RGM1 cells [Sekiguchi et al., 2007a]. However, as shown in Figure 1, COX-2 upregulation was observed 1 h after stimulation with the PAR1-AP, albeit PGE<sub>2</sub> release did not occur even 6 h after the stimulation. Liberation of free arachidonic acid from the nuclear and/or plasma membrane is one of the rate limiting steps for PGE<sub>2</sub> production. Actually, in the present study, when arachidonic acid or linoleic acid, a source of arachidonic acid, was added into the culture medium, PGE<sub>2</sub> release almost paralleled COX-2 upregulation following PAR1 activation (see Figs. 1A and 2). These results clearly demonstrate that the delayed supply of free arachidonic acid is the main cause for the time lag between the PAR1-triggered COX-2 upregulation and PGE<sub>2</sub> formation in RGM1 cells. It is known that intracellular levels of PGs, short-lived lipid messengers, are not detectable in most cells, since PGs are rapidly de novo synthesized in response to various stimuli, and then immediately diffuse across the plasma membrane [Legler et al., 2010]. Thus, it is not likely that internal storage of PGE<sub>2</sub> is associated with the delayed release of PGE<sub>2</sub> in the present study.



Fig. 6. Lack of effect of PAR1 activation on the protein levels of various PGE synthases in RGM1 cells. A: Representative photographs of Western blotting. mPGES, microsomal PGE synthase; cPGES, cytosolic PGES; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase. B: Quantified protein levels of mPGES-1, mPGES-2, and cPGES, n = 4.

Two possibilities may be considered to interpret the poor supply of free arachidonic acid in RGM1 cells; that is, (1) the amount of membrane-bound arachidonic acid may be poor in RGM1 cells, and (2) PLA<sub>2</sub>s that liberate free arachidonic acid from the membrane may be poor in a resting state and need to be upregulated for the supply of free arachidonic acid after PAR1 stimulation. Our findings that linoleic acid, like arachidonic acid, when applied in combination with the PAR1-AP, accelerated the PGE<sub>2</sub> release in a manner dependent on  $\Delta^6/\Delta^5$ -desaturase (see Figs. 2B and 3A), suggest that the lipid metabolism for the formation of membranebound arachidonic acid from linoleic acid may normally function in RGM1 cells. In addition, the activity of  $\Delta^6/\Delta^5$ -desaturase is not considered to be associated with the delayed PGE<sub>2</sub> release, because the PGE<sub>2</sub> release caused by stimulation with PAR1-AP alone for 18 h was not suppressed by an inhibitor of  $\Delta^6/\Delta^5$ -desaturase (CP-24879) (see Fig. 3). Multiple isozymes of PLA<sub>2</sub> appear to complementarily contribute to the liberation of free arachidonic acid from the cell membrane in RGM1 cells, since quinacrine, a pan-PLA<sub>2</sub> inhibitor, as well as the mixture, but not each, of selective inhibitors of cPLA<sub>2</sub>, iPLA2, and sPLA2, suppressed the PAR1-triggered PGE2 release (see Fig. 4). It is to be noted that quinacrine, a pan-PLA<sub>2</sub> inhibitor, also inhibits acetylcholine receptors, glibenclamide-sensitive K<sup>+</sup>currents, and monoamine oxidase (MAO) [Sakuta and Yoneda, 1994; Holscher, 1995]. Nonetheless, they do not appear to be directly associated with the inhibitory effect on the PAR1-triggered PGE<sub>2</sub> production in RGM1 cells, since it is unlikely that acetylcholine, catecholamines, and K<sup>+</sup>-currents are involved in the PGE<sub>2</sub> production in RGM1 cells.

Among PLA<sub>2</sub> isozymes, sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA and sPLA<sub>2</sub>-V are upregulated in concordance with induction of COX-2 after proinflammatory stimuli [Kuwata et al., 1998; Shinohara et al., 1999; Murakami et al., 2000]. In the present study, mRNA for sPLA2-IIA was significantly upregulated by activation of PAR1. The delayed liberation of free arachidonic acid following PAR1 activation may be explained by the delayed upregulation of sPLA2-IIA in RGM1 cells, since the time course of the upregulation of sPLA2-IIA mRNA nearly paralleled with the PAR1-triggered PGE<sub>2</sub> production (see Figs. 1 and 5). The mechanisms for the PAR1-triggered sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA upregulation remain to be clarified by future studies. It has been reported that the promoter region of sPLA2-IIA gene contains TATA and CCAAT boxes as well as several elements required for binding of transcription factors such as activator protein-1 (AP-1), CCAAT/ enhancer-binding proteins (C/EBPs), cyclic AMP response elementbinding protein (CREB), nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB), signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT), and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$  (PPAR $\gamma$ ) [Murakami and Kudo, 2004]. The NF-KB pathway might be involved in the PAR1-triggered sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA upregulation in RGM1 cells, since we recently found that an inhibitor of NF-kB, pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (PDTC), suppressed the PGE<sub>2</sub> release, but not the upregulation of COX-2 expression, caused by PAR1 activation (data not shown). This possibility has yet to be examined by future inhibition experiments. It is not consistent that sPLA2-IIA was upregulated, while Thio-PC, a selective sPLA2 inhibitor, had no effect on the PGE2 production in the present study (see Fig. 4). We also tested sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA inhibitor I as an alternative specific inhibitor for sPLA2-IIA, but found that sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA inhibitor I, like Thio-PC, exerted no inhibitory effect (data not shown). Considering the significant inhibitory effects of a pan-PLA<sub>2</sub> inhibitor (quinacrine) or a mixture of three PLA<sub>2</sub> inhibitors (AACOCF<sub>3</sub>, BEL, and Thio-PC), it can be speculated that inhibition of one isozyme of PLA2 might result in upregulation of other isozymes of PLA<sub>2</sub>, leading to the lack of effect of each inhibitor. Therefore, it would be particularly of interest to determine expression levels of cPLA<sub>2</sub> and iPLA<sub>2</sub> in the presence of the sPLA<sub>2</sub> inhibitor in future studies. Silencing of sPLA2-IIA by siRNA or shRNA strategies is considered an effective method to determine the involvement of sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA in the PAR1-triggered PGE<sub>2</sub> production. However, RGM1 cells were too vulnerable to transfection reagents, to be used for gene silencing experiments. Distinct transfection reagents that we tested easily made the cells detached from the bottom of culture dishes. Thus, it seems critical to select a transfection reagent with low toxicity, in order to achieve effective silencing of sPLA<sub>2</sub>-IIA in RGM1 cells.

We have shown that in the human pulmonary type II-like adenocarcinoma A549 cells, the PAR2-triggered PGE<sub>2</sub> is associated with upregulation of mPGES-1 in addition to COX-2 [Kawao et al., 2005; Nagataki et al., 2008]. However, in the present study, protein levels of mPGES-1, mPGES-2, and cPGES were not altered by PAR1 activation. It is known that cPGES and mPGES-2 are constitutively expressed, whereas mPGES-1, like COX-2, is upregulated under inflammatory conditions or in cancer development, and metabolically couples with COX-2, leading to a large amount of PGE<sub>2</sub> production [Samuelsson et al., 2007]. However, it has also been reported that mPGES-1, but not COX-2, is constitutively expressed in some organs including the stomach, spleen, kidney, and lung in mice [Boulet et al., 2004], where mPGES-1 expression might not necessarily parallel with COX-2 expression. This notion might interpret why mPGES-1 expression was not coupled to upregulation of COX-2 after PAR1 stimulation in the present study, considering that mPGES-1 was constitutively expressed in RGM1 cells (see Fig. 6).

It is of interest that the mechanism for  $PGE_2$  release caused by activation of PAR1 in RGM1 cells, as shown in the present and previous studies [Sekiguchi et al., 2007a], are greatly different from that for PAR2-triggered PGE<sub>2</sub> release in A549 cells [Kawao et al., 2005], despite that PAR1 and PAR2 share a common G-protein, Gq [Steinhoff et al., 2005]. Thus, the data shown in the present study imply that the release of free arachidonic acid is a rate limiting step in the PAR1-triggered, COX-2-dependent PGE<sub>2</sub> release in RGM1 cells, and provide a novel aspect for intracellular signals following activation of PAR1, which might be useful for understanding of the gastric functions of PAR1 in health and disease.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Antiaging Center Project for Private Universities from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan, 2008–2012 S0801975.

## REFERENCES

Akiba S, Hatazawa R, Ono K, Hayama M, Matsui H, Sato T. 2000. Transforming growth factor-alpha stimulates prostaglandin generation through

cytosolic phospholipase  $A_2$  under the control of p11 in rat gastric epithelial cells. Br J Pharmacol 131:1004–1010.

Balsinde J, Winstead MV, Dennis EA. 2002. Phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> regulation of arachidonic acid mobilization. FEBS Lett 531:2–6.

Boulet L, Ouellet M, Bateman KP, Ethier D, Percival MD, Riendeau D, Mancini JA, Methot N. 2004. Deletion of microsomal prostaglandin  $E_2$  (PGE<sub>2</sub>) synthase-1 reduces inducible and basal PGE<sub>2</sub> production and alters the gastric prostanoid profile. J Biol Chem 279:23229–23237.

Brzozowski T, Konturek PC, Konturek SJ, Brzozowska I, Pawlik T. 2005. Role of prostaglandins in gastroprotection and gastric adaptation. J Physiol Pharmacol 56(Suppl 5): 33–55.

Das UN. 2007. A defect in the activity of Delta6 and Delta5 desaturases may be a factor in the initiation and progression of atherosclerosis. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 76:251–268.

Gudis K, Sakamoto C. 2005. The role of cyclooxygenase in gastric mucosal protection. Dig Dis Sci 50(Suppl 1): S16–S23.

Holscher C. 1995. Quinacrine acts like an acetylcholine receptor antagonist rather than like a phospholipase  $A_2$  inhibitor in a passive avoidance task in the chick. Neurobiol Learn Mem 63:206–208.

Kawabata A. 2002. PAR-2: Structure, function and relevance to human diseases of the gastric mucosa. Expert Rev Mol Med 2002:1–17.

Kawabata A, Kinoshita M, Nishikawa H, Kuroda R, Nishida M, Araki H, Arizono N, Oda Y, Kakehi K. 2001. The protease-activated receptor-2 agonist induces gastric mucus secretion and mucosal cytoprotection. J Clin Invest 107:1443–1450.

Kawabata A, Nishikawa H, Saitoh H, Nakaya Y, Hiramatsu K, Kubo S, Nishida M, Kawao N, Kuroda R, Sekiguchi F, Kinoshita M, Kakehi K, Arizono N, Yamagishi H, Kawai K. 2004. A protective role of protease-activated receptor 1 in rat gastric mucosa. Gastroenterology 126:208–219.

Kawabata A, Matsunami M, Sekiguchi F. 2008. Gastrointestinal roles for proteinase-activated receptors in health and disease. Br J Pharmacol 153:S230–S240.

Kawao N, Nagataki M, Nagasawa K, Kubo S, Cushing K, Wada T, Sekiguchi F, Ichida S, Hollenberg MD, MacNaughton WK, Nishikawa H, Kawabata A. 2005. Signal transduction for proteinase-activated receptor-2-triggered prostaglandin  $E_2$  formation in human lung epithelial cells. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 315:576–589.

Kobayashi I, Kawano S, Tsuji S, Matsui H, Nakama A, Sawaoka H, Masuda E, Takei Y, Nagano K, Fusamoto H, Ohno T, Fukutomi H, Kamada T. 1996. RGM1, a cell line derived from normal gastric mucosa of rat. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim 32:259–261.

Kuwata H, Nakatani Y, Murakami M, Kudo I. 1998. Cytosolic phospholipase  $A_2$  is required for cytokine-induced expression of type IIA secretory phospholipase  $A_2$  that mediates optimal cyclooxygenase-2-dependent delayed prostaglandin  $E_2$  generation in rat 3Y1 fibroblasts. J Biol Chem 273:1733–1740.

Laine L, Takeuchi K, Tarnawski A. 2008. Gastric mucosal defense and cytoprotection: Bench to bedside. Gastroenterology 135:41–60.

Legler DF, Bruckner M, Uetz-von Allmen E, Krause P. 2010. Prostaglandin  $E_2$  at new glance: Novel insights in functional diversity offer therapeutic chances. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 42:198–201.

Mizuno H, Sakamoto C, Matsuda K, Wada K, Uchida T, Noguchi H, Akamatsu T, Kasuga M. 1997. Induction of cyclooxygenase 2 in gastric mucosal lesions

and its inhibition by the specific antagonist delays healing in mice. Gastroenterology 112:387–397.

Murakami M, Kudo I. 2004. Recent advances in molecular biology and physiology of the prostaglandin  $E_2$ -biosynthetic pathway. Prog Lipid Res 43:3–35.

Murakami M, Nakatani Y, Kuwata H, Kudo I. 2000. Cellular components that functionally interact with signaling phospholipase A<sub>2</sub>s. Biochim Biophys Acta 1488:159–166.

Nagataki M, Moriyuki K, Sekiguchi F, Kawabata A. 2008. Evidence that PAR2-triggered prostaglandin  $E_2$  (PGE<sub>2</sub>) formation involves the ERK-cytosolic phospholipase A<sub>2</sub>-COX-1-microsomal PGE synthase-1 cascade in human lung epithelial cells. Cell Biochem Funct 26:279–282.

Nakamura MT, Nara TY. 2003. Essential fatty acid synthesis and its regulation in mammals. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 68:145–150.

Pajdo R, Brzozowski T, Konturek PC, Kwiecien S, Konturek SJ, Sliwowski Z, Pawlik M, Ptak A, Drozdowicz D, Hahn EG. 2001. Ischemic preconditioning, the most effective gastroprotective intervention: Involvement of prostaglandins, nitric oxide, adenosine and sensory nerves. Eur J Pharmacol 427:263–276.

Ramanadham S, Zhang S, Ma Z, Wohltmann M, Bohrer A, Hsu FF, Turk J. 2002. Delta6-, Stearoyl CoA-, and Delta5-desaturase enzymes are expressed in beta-cells and are altered by increases in exogenous PUFA concentrations. Biochim Biophys Acta 1580:40–56.

Robert A, Nezamis JE, Lancaster C, Davis JP, Field SO, Hanchar AJ. 1983. Mild irritants prevent gastric necrosis through "adaptive cytoprotection" mediated by prostaglandins. Am J Physiol 245:G113–G121.

Sakuta H, Yoneda I. 1994. Inhibition by SKF 525A and quinacrine of endogenous glibenclamide-sensitive  $\rm K^+$  channels in follicle-enclosed Xenopus oocytes. Eur J Pharmacol 252:117–121.

Samuelsson B, Morgenstern R, Jakobsson PJ. 2007. Membrane prostaglandin E synthase-1: A novel therapeutic target. Pharmacol Rev 59:207–224.

Sekiguchi F, Kawabata A. 2004. Protease-activated receptors (PARs) as therapeutic targets: Development of agonists/antagonists and modulation of gastrointestinal functions. Drug Des Rev Online 1:287–296.

Sekiguchi F, Saito S, Takaoka K, Hayashi H, Nagataki M, Nagasawa K, Nishikawa H, Matsui H, Kawabata A. 2007a. Mechanisms for prostaglandin  $E_2$  formation caused by proteinase-activated receptor-1 activation in rat gastric mucosal epithelial cells. Biochem Pharmacol 73:103–114.

Sekiguchi F, Takaoka K, Kawabata A. 2007b. Proteinase-activated receptors in the gastrointestinal system: A functional linkage to prostanoids. Inflammopharmacology 15:246–254.

Shinohara H, Balboa MA, Johnson CA, Balsinde J, Dennis EA. 1999. Regulation of delayed prostaglandin production in activated P388D1 macrophages by group IV cytosolic and group V secretory phospholipase A<sub>2</sub>s. J Biol Chem 274:12263–12268.

Steinhoff M, Buddenkotte J, Shpacovitch V, Rattenholl A, Moormann C, Vergnolle N, Luger TA, Hollenberg MD. 2005. Proteinase-activated receptors: Transducers of proteinase-mediated signaling in inflammation and immune response. Endocr Rev 26:1–43.

Takaoka K, Sekiguchi F, Shigi H, Maeda Y, Nishikawa H, Kawabata A. 2010. Opposite effects of two thiazolidinediones, ciglitazone and troglitazone, on proteinase-activated receptor-1-triggered prostaglandin  $E_2$  release. Toxicology 268:40–45.